Visual Features - Keypoints Computer Vision CMP-6035B

Dr. David Greenwood

david.greenwood @uea.ac.uk

SCI 2.16a University of East Anglia

Spring 2022

Contents

- Motivation
- Harris Corner Detection
- Shi-Tomasi Corner Detection
- Difference of Gaussian

Visual Features



We want to find *locally distinct* features in an image.

- How do we find these features?
- How do we describe them?

Figure 1: keypoints

Visual Features



Figure 2: keypoints

We can take advantage of these locally distinct features for:

- image classification
- image retrieval
- correspondence between two images
- 3D reconstruction

Visual Features





Figure 3: view 1

Figure 4: view 2

An important distinction:

- Keypoint is a distinct **location** in an image
- Descriptor is a summary **description** of that neighbourhood.

Keypoint and Descriptor



keypoint: (x, y) descriptor *at* the keypoint:

0.02 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01

Figure 5: view 1

Keypoints

Finding locally distinct points.

- Harris Corner Detection
- Shi-Tomasi Corner Detection
- Förstner operator
- Difference of Gaussians (DoG)



Corners are often highly distinct points.

Corners



Figure 6: view 1



Figure 7: view 2

Corners

- Corners are often highly *distinct* points.
- Edges are a rapid change in pixel value.
- Corners are formed from two *orthogonal* edges.
- Corners are *invariant* to translation, rotation and illumination.

To find corners we need to **search** for *intensity changes* in two directions.

Compute the SSD of pixels in the neighbourhood W around (x, y).

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{(u,v)\in W_{x,y}} (I(u,v) - I(u+\delta u, v+\delta v))^2$$

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{(u,v)\in W_{x,y}} (I(u,v) - I(u+\delta u, v+\delta v))^2$$

Using **Taylor** expansion, with *Jacobian* $[J_x, J_y]$:

$$I(u + \delta u, v + \delta v) \approx I(u, v) + [J_x, J_y] \begin{bmatrix} \delta u \\ \delta v \end{bmatrix}$$

Taylor approximation leads to:

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{(u,v)\in W_{x,y}} \left([J_x, J_y] \begin{bmatrix} \delta u \\ \delta v \end{bmatrix} \right)^2$$

Written in matrix form:

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{(u,v)\in W_{x,y}} \begin{bmatrix} \delta u \\ \delta v \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} J_x^2 & J_x J_y \\ J_x J_y & J_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta u \\ \delta v \end{bmatrix}$$

Given:

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{(u,v)\in W_{x,y}} \begin{bmatrix} \delta u \\ \delta v \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} J_x^2 & J_x J_y \\ J_x J_y & J_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta u \\ \delta v \end{bmatrix}$$

Move the summation inside the matrix:

$$f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \delta u \\ \delta v \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \sum_W J_x^2 & \sum_W J_x J_y \\ \sum_W J_x J_y & \sum_W J_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta u \\ \delta v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{W} J_x^2 & \sum_{W} J_x J_y \\ \sum_{W} J_x J_y & \sum_{W} J_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The structure matrix is key to finding edges and corners.
- Encodes the image intensity changes in a local area.
- built from image gradients.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{W} J_x^2 & \sum_{W} J_x J_y \\ \sum_{W} J_x J_y & \sum_{W} J_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix built from image gradients.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{W} J_x^2 & \sum_{W} J_x J_y \\ \sum_{W} J_x J_y & \sum_{W} J_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Jacobians computed by convolution with gradient kernel, e.g. Sobel:

$$J_x^2 = (D_x * I)^2$$

$$J_x J_y = (D_x * I)(D_y * I)$$

$$J_y^2 = (D_y * I)^2$$

Matrix built from image gradients.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{W} J_x^2 & \sum_{W} J_x J_y \\ \sum_{W} J_x J_y & \sum_{W} J_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Jacobians using Sobel:

$$D_x = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \ , \ D_y = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \ 2 & 0 & -2 \ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Summarises the dominant gradient directions around a point.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{W} J_x^2 & \sum_{W} J_x J_y \\ \sum_{W} J_x J_y & \sum_{W} J_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \gg 1 & \approx 0 \\ \approx 0 & \gg 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 8: corner



$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \gg 1 & \approx 0 \\ \approx 0 & \approx 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 9: edge



 $M = \begin{bmatrix} \approx 0 & \approx 0 \\ \approx 0 & \approx 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Figure 10: flat

Corners from Structure Matrix

Consider points as corners if their structure matrix has **two large** Eigenvalues.



$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \gg 1 & \approx 0 \\ \approx 0 & \gg 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 11: corner

Corner Detection

Three similar approaches...

Harris, Shi-Tomasi and Förstner

Three similar approaches:

- 1987 Förstner
- 1988 Harris
- 1994 Shi-Tomasi

All rely on the structure matrix.

- Use different criteria for deciding if a point is a corner
- Förstner offers subpixel estimation

Harris Corner Criterion

Criterion:

$$egin{aligned} R &= det(M) - k(trace(M))^2 \ &= \lambda_1\lambda_2 - k(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

with $k \in [0.04, 0.06]$:

$$\begin{split} |R| &\approx 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2 \approx 0 \\ R &< 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \gg \lambda_2 \text{ or } \lambda_2 \gg \lambda_1 \\ R &\gg 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2 \gg 0 \end{split}$$



Figure 12: Harris Criterion

Shi-Tomasi Criterion

Threshold smallest Eigenvalue:

$$\lambda_{min}(M) = rac{trace(M)}{2} - rac{1}{2}\sqrt{trace(M)^2 - 4det(M)}$$

corner:

 $\lambda_{min}(M) \geq T$



Figure 13: Shi-Tomasi Criterion

Förstner Criterion

- Similar to Harris corner detector.
- Criterion defined on the covariance matrix of possible shifts inverse of *M*.
- Similar criteria on error ellipse.

Non-Maxima Suppression

Within a local region, look for position with maximum value R.

Which would be maximum here?



Harris Corner Example



Figure 15: view 1



Figure 16: view 2

Corner Detection in Practice

- RGB to grey scale conversion.
- Real images are noisy, so smoothing is recommended.

Corner Detection Algorithm

- Convolution with Sobel to obtain x, y derivatives.
- Multiplication of x, y derivatives to get $J_x J_x, J_y J_y, J_x J_y$.
- Summation of region, using box filter convolution.
- Apply criterion, e.g finding Eigenvalues.

Corner Detectors Compared

- All three detectors perform similarly.
- Förstner was first and also described subpixel estimation.
- Harris became the most popular corner detector.
- Shi-Tomasi seems to slightly outperform Harris.
- Many libraries use Shi-Tomasi as the default corner detector.

Difference of Gaussians (DoG)

Detecting edges, corners, and *blobs*...

A variant of corner detection.

- Provides responses at corners, edges, and *blobs*.
- Blob = mainly constant region but different to its surroundings.

DoG over Scale Space Pyramid

Over different image pyramid levels

- 1. Gaussian smoothing
- 2. Difference-of-Gaussians: find extrema (over smoothing scales).
- 3. maximal suppression at edges.



Figure 17: DoG - different image blurs



We search in (x, y) and in the third dimension.

Figure 18: DoG - search



Figure 19: DoG - octaves



Figure 20: DoG - example



Figure 21: Gaussian - smoothing scale

Blurring filters out high-frequencies (noise).

Subtracting differently blurred images from each other only keeps the frequencies that lie between the blur level of both images

DoG acts as a **band-pass** filter.

keypoints are the local *extrema* in the DoG over different scales.

The DoG finds blob-like and corner-like image structures *but* also has strong responses along *edges*.

- Edges are *undesirable* for matching.
- Eliminate edges via Eigenvalue test.

Summary

Two approaches for finding locally distinct points.

- Corners using the Structure Matrix.
- Difference of Gaussians

Reading:

- Forsyth, Ponce; Computer Vision: A modern approach, 2nd ed.
- A Combined Corner and Edge Detector, Harris, et al. 1988.
- Good Features to Track. Shi & Tomasi. 1994.