Image Classification Computer Vision CMP-6035B

Dr. David Greenwood

david.greenwood @uea.ac.uk

SCI 2.16a University of East Anglia

March 2022

Content

- HOG features
- Visual Words
- Spatial Pyramid
- PCA and LDA
- Evaluation

Image Classification

Passing a whole image to a classifier.

Feature Extraction

What are good features?

Feature Extraction

The main difficulty in solving these image classification problems is finding good image features.

What are good features?

- Good features should **exhibit** between-class variation.
- Good features should **suppress** within-class variation.

Other desirable properties of features are:

- *invariant* to rotation, translation and scaling of an image
- invariant to illumination

What are good features?



Texture is a good feature, and often provides good diagnostics.

 e.g. summary statistics on gradient orientations

Figure 1: texture for features



Figure 2: kitchen 1



Exact feature locations are not important.

 Small variations in the layout will not change the class label.

Figure 3: kitchen 2

Classification Applications

Classify an X-ray image as containing cancer or not.

- A *binary* classification problem.
- Normally requires significant human expertise!

Material classification, eg. wood, metal, plastic, etc.

- Texture is likely useful, but...
- Illumination may significantly change the texture.
- Extract features invariant to illumination.

Scene classification e.g. kitchen, bathroom, beach.

- Importance of context.
- Scenes contain many objects, but their exact location is less important.

Image Classification Strategies

Extracting low level features from an image.

Two low level features, which are used often, include *SIFT* and *HOG* features, combined with some colour descriptors.

SIFT - Scale Invariant Feature Transform

- Localised feature based on image gradients.
- One of the first of its kind.
- Some proprietary aspects to its use.
- covered in a later lecture.

HOG - histograms of oriented gradients.

- Also a gradient based feature.
- next up!

Histograms of Oriented Gradients

- Image is divided into regions a window.
- Each window is further divided into cells.
- Each cell is typically 6 to 8 pixels wide.

Histograms of Oriented Gradients

A local 1D histogram of gradient directions.

- 1D dimension is the **angle** of the gradient
- the angle is *quantised* into a discrete set of bins
- for example, for a bin size 20 degrees, we have 18 bins
- sum of all elements is equal to number of pixels in the *cell*

Angle

- A gradient is calculated using a centred $\left[-1,0,1\right]$ filter.
- The filter is applied vertically and horizontally.
- We derive the gradient direction from these first derivatives.

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{\delta g}{\delta y} \ / \ \frac{\delta g}{\delta x}$$

Magnitude

For colour images, we can calculate gradient for the three channels and select the one with the largest *magnitude*.

$$|G| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta g}{\delta x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta g}{\delta y}\right)^2}$$

For each pixel within a cell, its gradient *orientation* is used to increment the relevant histogram bin.

- in *proportion* to the gradient magnitude

Interpolation

To enforce invariance to some small gradient orientation differences, we *interpolate* histogram contributions between the neighbouring bin centres.

- Typical binning - 20 degrees.

We choose a certain configuration of cells and call it a *block*

- typically 2-3 cell wide
- perform normalisation within each block
- various schemes proposed in original paper
- e.g. modified L2 norm $v
 ightarrow v/\sqrt{||v||_2^2+\epsilon^2}$



Figure 4: HOG example

Dalal and Triggs. "Histograms of Oriented Gradients for Human Detection", CVPR, 2005

Once the features are extracted, we would often use *dictionaries* of **visual words**.

Features representing scenes should be able to **summarise** these scenes.

Imagine we would like to classify images containing sets of objects.

The precise location of objects may not be relevant.

- The objects may move or deform within the image.
- The viewpoint may change or the image may be deformed or scaled.

This suggests some kind of high level histogram representation of the scene.

- How many cups or plates visible in a kitchen scene?
- Will these objects be present in an outdoor scene?
- How many trees might you expect in a kitchen?

Detect *interest* points in the image.

- e.g. corners, T-junctions etc.
- build *neighbourhoods* around them.

Describe these neighbourhoods with low level features. For example, **SIFT**

Vector-quantise these features.

- $-\,$ e.g. by k-means clustering.
- These *clusters* are very much like words.

For each image, build a histogram of these visual words.

- Two *similar* images should have *similar* histograms.

Compare histograms using histogram intersection.

$$HI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(h_i, g_i)$$

- Sivic and Zisserman, "Efficient Visual Search...", Proc. IEEE 2008.

Spatial Pyramid Kernels

Extending Visual Words...

The concept of visual words can be taken further so that it incorporates a rough *layout* of the scene.

Spatial Pyramid Kernels

- split an image into 4 quarters
- calculate HI for each quarter and the whole image
- resulting in 5 *different* figures.

Spatial Pyramid Kernels

The quarters can be subdivided further into smaller blocks

- too small blocks are less useful.

The final *similarity* figure is a sum of block-wise *HIs weighted* by the **inverse** of the block width.

- Lazebnik et al. "Beyond bags of features...", CVPR 2006

Dimensionality Reduction

The features we create tend to be high dimensional.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- There can be a lot of redundancy in this data.
- We could use PCA to $\ensuremath{\textbf{compress}}$ this data.

Fisher LDA

The extension of PCA is Fisher LDA

- Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)
- also referred to as Dimension Reduction with Canonical Variates

Fisher LDA

Is a projection onto a subspace that **maximises** the *ratio* of the between-class variance to the within-class variance.



Figure 5: data



Figure 6: PCA

Difficult to distinguish the classes along the principal s 2 component.



Figure 7: LDA

How do we evaluate the performance of the classifier?

Image Classification is often evaluated using two metrics:

- precision and recall.

Precision : the percentage of recovered items that are *relevant*.

TP/(TP + FP)

Recall : the percentage of relevant items that are *recovered*.

TP/(TP + FN)

We also calculate average precision:

$$A = \frac{1}{N_r} \sum_{r=1}^{N} P(r) rel(r)$$

Average precision is the area under the Precision-Recall curve.

We also calculate *average* precision:

$$A = \frac{1}{N_r} \sum_{r=1}^{N} P(r) rel(r)$$

- N_r is the number of relevant items
- N is the total number of items
- P(r) is the precision of first r items in the ranked list.
- rel(r) a binary function that is 1 when the r^{th} document is relevant.



Figure 8: precision-recall for two models

ROC curves should be used when there are roughly equal numbers of observations for each class.

Precision-Recall curves should be used when there is a moderate to large class imbalance.

Summary

- HOG features
- Visual Words
- Spatial Pyramid
- PCA and LDA
- Evaluation

Reading:

- Forsyth, Ponce; Computer Vision: A modern approach, 2nd ed., Chapters 16,17 and 5.
- Sonka et al., Image Processing, Analysis and Machine Vision, 4th ed., Chapter 10