Object Detection Computer Vision CMP-6035B

Dr. David Greenwood

david.greenwood@uea.ac.uk

SCI 2.16a University of East Anglia

March 2022

Content

- Classification or Object Detection
- Sliding Window
- Detecting Faces
- Detecting Humans and other applications

Object Detection

What is object detection?

Image classification methods can detect an object in the image if there is just a **single** object in the scene and it clearly dominates the image.

If this constraint is not met, we are in the **object detection** scenario.

We can use similar techniques we have learnt in Image Classification to detect objects in an image.

Here we apply these techniques to sub-windows of the image.

This approach is called a *sliding window* method.

Sliding Window

Sliding window is a *meta* algorithm - a concept found in many machine learning algorithms.

Sliding Window

First, let's assume our objects have relatively similar size and fit into $n \times m$ pixel windows.

Build the dataset of positive and negative instances and train the classifier.

We could then *slide* the classification window over the image to **search** for the object location.

Sliding Window

But, there are problems:

- Objects may be of significantly different sizes.
- Some windows will overlap how do we avoid counting objects multiple times?

Sliding Window

We tackle the first problem by searching over scale as well.

- We build the Gaussian pyramid of our image.

Gaussian Pyramid

Each layer of a pyramid is obtained by *smoothing* a previous layer with a Gaussian filter and *subsampling* it.



Figure 1: Gaussian Pyramid

Gaussian Pyramid

We search using our $n \times m$ window in each **layer** of the pyramid.

Sliding Window

The second problem is usually solved using **non-maximum suppression**.

Non-Maximum Suppression

Windows with a local maximum of *classifier confidence* **suppress** nearby windows.

Train the classifier on $n \times m$ windows.

Choose a threshold *t* and Δx and Δy , where:

- -t is a threshold for the classifier confidence.
- Δx and Δy are the step distance for each direction.

Construct an Image Pyramid.

For each level:

- Apply the classifier to each $n \times m$ window, stepping by Δx and Δy in this level to get a classifier confidence c.
- If c is above t, insert a pointer to the window into a list L, ranked by c.

For each window w in L, from highest confidence:

- remove all windows $u \neq w$ that overlap w significantly
- overlap is calculated in the *original* image, by expanding coarser scales

Detection Applications

Now we know *how* to detect objects in an image, **what** can be detected?

Face Detection

We will mostly discuss the classic *Viola-Jones* algorithm for face detection.

Another classic method in face classification is *Eigenfaces*.

- Eigenfaces use PCA on an aligned set of face images.
- Fisherfaces extends Eigenfaces to use Fisher LDA.
- There is a document on Blackboard for you to read on these methods.

Viola-Jones object detection

P. Viola, and M. Jones,

Rapid Object Detection using a Boosted Cascade of Simple Features.

International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 511-518, 2001.

Viola-Jones object detection framework

A fast and robust method for face detection.

- $-\,$ Can be used for detection of other objects, not only faces.
- Robust high detection rate and low false-positive rate.
- Detection only not recognition.

Viola-Jones object detection framework

The method comprises four stages:

- feature detection
- integral image
- learning algorithm using modified AdaBoost
- cascade of classifiers

Viola-Jones Feature Extraction



Figure 2: Haar features

Features need to be calculated **fast**!

- Use a simple set of *Haar-like* features.
- add light rectangle and subtract dark rectangle
- features are translated within a sub-window

Features can be calculated very quickly by pre-calculating the **integral** image.

$$I(x,y) = \sum_{\substack{x' \le x \\ y' \le y}} i(x',y')$$

i.e. the sum of pixels to the left and above a given pixel.

Viola-Jones Feature Extraction



Figure 3: Integral Image

Sum of pixels under a rectangle:

- Value at pixel 1 is *sum* of rectangle A.
- Value at 2 is A + B.
- Value at 3 is A + C.
- at 4 is A + B + C + D.
- D = 4 + 1 (2 + 3)

Viola-Jones Feature Extraction



Figure 4: Haar features

Each of these 4 features can be scaled and shifted in a 24×24 pixel sub-window.

 giving a total of approx 160,000 features. The number of features extracted from an image is very large.

- We need a way to select the *subset* of features, which are the most *important* from the point of object **detection**.
- We also need a **fast** classifier.
- Solution: modified AdaBoost.

Modified Adaboost algorithm.

- Each weak learner operates on only **one** feature.
- Thus, Adaboost acts as a feature *selector*.
- Can significantly reduce the initial number of 160,000 features.
- e.g. 200 features can provide 95% detection rate with 1 in 14000 false positives.

Viola-Jones Features



Figure 5: Image from original paper

Attentional cascade of boosted classifiers.

We can train a simple boosted classifier on a very low number of features and adjust its threshold to guarantee 100% detection rate.

Many false positives, but we can easily reject most sub-windows.

- Sub-windows classified as positives are passed to the next stage of the cascade.
- Additional features are used in a more complex classifier.
- $-\ \ldots$ and so on, to reduce the number of false positives.

Attentional cascade of boosted classifiers.

- 38 layers with 6061 features
- Majority of sub-windows will be rejected in the early layers of the cascade where few features are needed.

The image is scanned with sub-windows at different *scales* and different *locations*.

- Results from individual sub-windows are combined for the final result.
- Detected sub-windows are divided into disjoint sets.
- In each disjoint set we calculate the mean of four corners.

Viola-Jones

MATLAB has an implementation of the algorithm.



Figure 6: Viola Jones Face Detection

Very slow to train. The original paper reports *weeks* of training for the training set they used (5k faces, 9.5k non-faces).

Very fast to execute. On 700 MHz processor, it takes 0.067s to analyse 384×288 image.

The original HOG paper also proposed detection of humans in the sliding window.



Figure 7: HOG - from original paper

Dalal and Triggs used a linear SVM classifier.



Figure 8: mean gradients and SVM weights

- a. The mean gradient image for all data.
- b. The maximum positive SVM weights.
- c. The maximum negative SVM weights.

The SVM weights provide a nice visualisation of the decision boundary.



- d. An example 64×128 test image.
- e. The computed HOG descriptor.

Performance was reduced with less margin around the subject in the test images.

Figure 9: hog test image



- f. Positively weighted HOG descriptor.
- g. Negatively weighted HOG descriptor.

Showing that the detector cues mainly on the contrast of silhouette contours and gradients inside the person typically count as negative cues.

Figure 10: hog weighted

Object detection through segmentation using boundary detection.

Edges are **not** the same as object contours or occluding contours.

- Some edges are irrelevant or confusing for object detection.
- Solution: use a *sliding window* to detect boundaries.

At each window we extract features that will decide whether the centre pixel in the window is an occluding contour or not.

- Each pixel is assigned a *probability* of boundary.
- Circular windows often used as boundaries are oriented.
- A boundary splits the circular window into two halves.

- Features could be *histograms* produced from image intensity, oriented energy, brightness gradient, colour gradient etc.
- The histograms are extracted from two halves of the window, and the distance between them is calculated. E.g. χ^2
- This distance is mapped to probability using *logistic regression*.

Training and test data require annotations.



Figure 11: test image

Annotations are performed by different subjects.



Figure 12: annotation 1



Figure 13: annotation 2

Inconsistent annotations are averaged.



Figure 14: test image

 $P_b(x,y,\theta)$

The probability that the pixel is a boundary for some orientation.

$$P_b(x, y) = \max(\theta) P_b(x, y, \theta)$$

The maximum probability that the pixel is a boundary for all orientations.

Solution: weighted matching between the machine and human generated boundaries.

- Predicted boundary point too far away from any annotation is considered a false positive.
- If there are no predicted points close to the annotation, then this pixel is considered a false negative.
- Probability boundary map can then be thresholded which allows us to calculate precision-recall curves.



Figure 15: precision-recall curve

GD+H - Canny BG+CG+TG - Martin et al. Ground Truth



Figure 16: results

Martin, Fowlkes and Malik. Learning to Detect Natural Image Boundaries Using Local Brightness, Color and Texture Cues (2004).

Summary

- Classification or Object Detection
- Sliding Window
- Detecting Faces
- Detecting Humans and other applications

Reading:

- Forsyth, Ponce; Computer Vision: A modern approach, 2nd ed., Chapters 16,17 and 5.
- Sonka et al., Image Processing, Analysis and Machine Vision, 4th ed., Chapter 10
- Papers mentioned in the slides!